

## **Minutes for the April 9, 2008 Assembly meeting**

The meeting was held Wednesday, April 9, 6pm in Frist Campus Center Room 309

### **AGENDA**

- I. Call to Order**
  - A. Approval of the Minutes (March) -- Marina Paul
  - B. Introduction and Welcome to Dean Russel -- Christina Hultholm
  
- II. Guest Speaker Dean Russel**
  - A. Remarks by Dean Russel
  - B. Question and Answer Session
  
- III. Decision Items**
  - A. CPUC, PACA, GSG Standing Committee Elections
  
- IV. Reports**
  - A. Chair - Christina Hultholm
  - B. Social Events - Yi Wang
  - C. Department Reports
    - 1. Robert Harron (MAT)
    - 2. Katya Ossorgin (MUS)
  - D. Facilities Report - Jeff Dvoskin
  - E. IRC Report - Giri Parameswaran (5 min.)
  
- V. Discussion Items**
  - A. Lawrence Committee Elections
  
- VI. New Business**
  
- VII. Adjournment**

### **I. Call to Order**

#### **A. Approval of the Minutes (March) -- Marina Paul**

A draft of the minutes for the March 2008 assembly meeting was sent to assembly members via email last week. Aside from any comments related to proofreading, several corrections were recommended by the old and new AOS department reps:

1. There have been a total of 83 students in the program, not 83 currently in the program (which is what was originally stated in the draft emailed to Assembly)
2. Andrew submitted his version of the report, which included more details about the program
3. Silvia Bulow will be submitting a summary for the minutes of her meeting with Dean Mawhinney (Associate Dean for Administration) about taxes on travel expenses incurred by Assistants in Research (AR) and Assistants in Instruction (AI).

A motion was made to approve the minutes with the proposed changes. The motion to approve the minutes was seconded and approved.

#### **B. Introduction and Welcome to Dean Russel -- Christina Hultholm**

William Russel, the Dean of the Graduate School and Professor of Chemical Engineering was the guest speaker at The April meeting. Dean Russel speaks to Assembly ever year.

## **II. Guest Speaker - Dean Russel**

### **A. Remarks by Dean Russel**

#### **1. Mentoring Survey**

Dean Russel updated Assembly on the status of the mentoring survey conducted by the graduate school last spring. The mentoring survey was conducted in order to compare the effectiveness of mentoring provided to graduate students across the departments during the early years of their programs. At this point, the mentoring surveys have been distributed to the DGS, chairs, and administrations of the departments, and they have been asked to distribute it to the graduate student committees. After the initial analysis of quantitative data, the written comments were compiled and coded in a way to not compromise the confidentiality of the survey before being distributed. The graduate school has met with the DGS's, and will be holding division-specific meetings with DGS's in the period of time between admissions and graduation.

One of the motivations for conducting the mentoring survey is to determine whether the quality of mentoring affects student attrition rates at Princeton. According to Dean Russel, "clearly not everyone finishes – in some cases, there are dismal finishing rates, but Princeton is at the high end of this. We have a small number of faculty members, and we still think we can do better."

Dean Russel determined via a show of hands that only several of the department reps had seen the mentoring survey report. However, it was acknowledged that assembly members are not necessarily members of the graduate student committees.

Dean Russel discussed the effectiveness versus awareness plots in the results report. Awareness scored for the resources and opportunities students were given during the pre-generals portions of their degree; effectiveness scored how effective the students felt these resources and opportunities were. The survey went out only to second, third and fourth-year students, and the graduate school had good returns.

According to Dean Russel, "this metric varied across the divisions; part of this is whether you have an advisor in the early years. In the social sciences, the awareness axis is scattered, and close to zero on the effectiveness axis. The team that reviewed this data also gauged that students felt they were not getting very good mentoring in a specific department."

Dean Russel asked Assembly whether the individual departments had discussed the survey results. According to Yaron Ayalon (NES), the Near Eastern Studies department held a town meeting to discuss the results. Yaron acknowledged that while NES didn't do too well on the survey, he felt that people were frank about what was bothering them and he felt optimistic. According to Katya Ossorgin (MUS), the Music department's graduate student committee discussed the results, and the department's students seem to be satisfied with the level of mentoring.

#### **2. Housing Master plan**

Dean Russel initiated a discussion about the Housing Master plan by explaining how Stanworth would replace Butler as family-friendly housing. According to Dean Russel, this move was driven by the fact that Butler has to finally be torn down. The graduate school worked under the presumption that it would never be able to build new graduate student housing on the site at a level that can be rented out to students at affordable states, so the development to be built where Butler currently stands was designated faculty/staff housing. (He reminded Assembly that when Lawrence Apartments were opened and the University set the initially high rental rates, Lawrence couldn't fill all of its units.) Providing graduate student housing at Stanworth apartments was a solution to replace Butler housing while maintaining the character of the Butler apartments (but not maintaining the substandard quality of housing). Hibben/Magie would also become fully graduate housing.

Kevin Collins (POL) asked what would be the year-to-year distribution of number of beds/coverage of beds over the course of the renovations. Dean Russel could not recall off hand and was not sure the numbers had been released, but he assured Assembly that the plan was built on maintaining a certain % of beds. Dean Lisa Schreyer added that a graduate student housing meeting was held last night, and this breakdown has been listed on the FAQ site. According to Lisa, at no time will the percentage of students housed drop below 70%.

Silvia Bulow (GEO) was concerned about increased rental rates with the replacement of Butler with Stanworth. A large increase in rents could create difficulties for graduate student populations such as international students, other current students who have more financial trouble, or deter some applicants from matriculating at Princeton at all. According to Dean Russel, Stanworth won't be rented at rates as low as Butler.

In response to this, Silvia then suggested providing hardship grants for lowered rent. According to Dean Schreyer, hardship grants are available for people to live in housing. These grants are not applied via a financial reduction in rents, but it is a money grant and does grant priority in housing. It was suggested that the graduate school track data to determine whether the international student matriculation rates change. Dean Russel responded that the graduate school does pay attention to comments listed on surveys for enrollment/going somewhere else, but it is difficulty to judge the accuracy of that content. At this point the graduate school is satisfied that housing has become less of a negative issue.

Dean Russel pointed out that other institutions have nowhere near comparable levels of housing to Princeton in terms of rental rates or the percentage of students housed. Kevin Collins (POL) argued that Princeton graduate students have less off-campus housing available to them than students at urban institutions do. Dean Russel said that "more students will be housed, since more Departments in faculty, and additional four year programs that will turn into 5 year programs (politics, sociology). On top of this, unanticipated increases may occur, and the housing program will have to respond to this."

Renovations due for Stanworth for its change to student housing are modest, including cleaning and painting. Stanworth has one-, two- and three-bedroom, mostly walkout apartments - more information will be available on the housing FAQ site soon. David Jorgensen (REL) inquired about the availability of community space at Stanworth. Lisa Schreyer responded that there is currently no such space, and 1-2 housing units at Stanworth may have to be taken away (given that no new building is scheduled to occur).

Lisa Schreyer will be the point person to collect suggestions of how to use community space.

### **3. Recent requests made to the Priorities Committee**

1. A request to fund scientific writing courses was brought to the Priorities Committee last year. The motivation is to grow the capability so that everyone who wanted to take these courses could do so. According to Dean Russel, to do this would not be all that expensive. This request was rejected at PriComm last year, but the graduate school felt encouraged to bring it back, and the same with a request to fund more scholarly travel.
2. Request to increase the Dean's fund for scholarly travel
  - a. The graduate school would like to also fund 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year students (currently only students in their third-year through the first year of DCE status are eligible)
  - b. Currently, the graduate school funds only 1 out of 3 applications, and would like to improve the funding rate.

An audience member felt that there should be more flexibility in the way the scholarly travel grant could be used, suggesting that the grant could be modeled after the grants given in the Woodrow Wilson School, where a student may use funds for travel as needed over the years, as opposed to allocating a certain amount per year.

### **B. Question and answer session**

Giri Parameswaran (ECO) expressed that many economics students were very disappointed that the Economics program has not been extended to a five-year program. Currently it is a four year program, but not many students finish in four, and this it really should be a five year program. Dean Russel responded that the graduate school is talking to the next chair of the Economics department, who is thinking in new ways about this.

Silvia Bulow (former Parliamentary secretary) wanted to clarify whether any more four-year program remained aside from Economics. Dean Russel listed several more, including WWS, Composition and AOS.

Kevin Collins (POL) relayed some of the information obtained from the recent shuttle survey results. The overall impression from the responses to the survey is that graduate students have negative feelings toward the new plan. Kevin Collins asked Dean Russel what input the graduate school had in shaping this plan. When Dean Russel responded that the GSG and Lisa Schreyer have been in constant contact, Kevin argued that this may have been insufficient: "contact and influence are two different things".

Dean Schreyer updated Assembly on the shuttle plan. Paul Breitman (General Manager, University Services) is currently asking several companies for price quotes for frequent shuttling, and weekend/shopping services.

Hilary Bergsieker (PSY), who also helped design the survey, felt that "the University is investing more money to make the shuttle system worse – many improvements to this service that serve to integrate the campus as a whole are negatively affecting graduate students, quite possibly integrating the graduate students less." Hilary added that the consultants didn't anticipate the affect of adding transfers between destinations to reach certain destinations. If students need to attend or teach a class, the possibilities of late buses/delays from transfers will amplify the time required to reach their destinations.

Hilary added that the survey gauged that there was a massive drop of satisfaction in Butler resident. From the survey results, Hilary also anticipates decreased usage of the

shuttle system, more moving off-campus, more working from home. In fact, 30% said they would be more likely to drive in.

Reliability and efficiency are valued more than frequency amongst graduate students, according to the shuttle survey. Yi Wang (Social chair) was concerned that this new shuttle plan would exacerbate current problems, like taking the shuttle on Nassau during rush hour. Currently, some drivers are always late or leave early.

Ashley Thrall (Parliamentary secretary) added that students (such as herself) take the shuttle for safety issues late at night. Increasing transfers may however, lead to more students taking the perceived risks and walking instead.

Kim Jackson (Director of Parking and Transportation) has been sent the preliminary data, and is currently reviewing it. According to Lisa Schreyer, Paul Breitman feels that the proposed shuttle system will be more reliable and efficient to students and other University groups. Hilary argued that the shuttle plan won't work as proposed, and definitely not if it goes wrong. She foresees crowding and reliability becoming major issues, and felt that Paul Breitman seems to be asking for the faith of students that the shuttle system will work as proposed. Yi Wang agreed – he brought up the difficulties that transfers might pose during inclement weather.

Dean Russel spoke of Paul Breitman: “he is a professional who has been very successful of serving his clientele. He has gone out and asked for bids. I'm not asking you trust him, but we can trust him to be responsive.” Kevin asked for Dean Russel to help our student voice be heard.

Jeff clarified that these routes were designed by consultants brought in, not by Paul. We have trusted consultants for being consultants, but maybe not.

Jeff projected shuttle survey data showing that graduate students residing at Lawrence and Butler apartments preferred last year's shuttle system to this year. For all groups except Butler and 40 people in other housing, the preference for this one was negative.

Audrey Dorelien (BGC) brought up that in the proposal, there would be no direct route from the GC, Lawrence, or Hibben/Magie to the Woodrow Wilson School (WWS) stop, while the WWS stop often empties out the shuttle. Kevin added that the primary point-to-point commute should be included in these considerations.

Yi asked what information the outside consultants utilized to come up with the proposal. He observed that this committee has richest data set, and asked if there was a plan to get this data to them. According to Kevin, right now open ended data is being coded in order to preserve the identities of the responders before it can be sent out.

Latonya Trotter (SOC) reiterated that the changes were especially frustrating to graduate students because they were not sure why this was happening.

## **II. Decision Items**

### **A. CPUC, PACA, GSG Standing Committee Elections**

Kevin wanted to amend the standing rules to allow co-chairs (rather than allowing only one person to take on the full responsibilities of chair) to be elected to the committees. A motion was made to allow the academic committee to have two co-chairs. The motion seconded and a voice vote was conducted. The motion passed with no opposed votes.

A joint ballot was displayed on a screen, with the candidates and positions listed below. “Disapprove of all candidates” was listed as an option for each election.

1. CPUC General Members (4 candidates for 5 positions): Giri Parameswaran (ECO), Yaron Ayalon (NES), Aurelien Fraisse (AST), Pablo Mosteiro (PHY). All four graduate divisions were represented.
2. Rights and Rules Committee (2 candidates for 2 positions): Andrew Ballinger (AOS), Darren Pais (MAE)
3. PACA (1 candidate for 1 position) – Praveena Joseph-de-Saram (MOL)
4. Governance Committee (2 candidates for 1 position) – Michael Sekora (ACM), Shin-Yi Lin (MOL)
5. Judicial Committee (1 candidate for 1 position) – Khee-Gan Lee (AST)
6. Resources Committee (1 candidate for 1 position) – Bean McKean

GSG committee chair positions:

1. Academic affairs (2 candidates for 2 co-chair positions) - Kevin Collins (POL), Silvia Bulow (GEO)
2. Campus Relations (1 candidate, 1 open position) – Raja Chahal (MOL)
3. Facilities (1 candidate, 1 open position) – Jeff Dwoskin
4. Health and Life (1 candidate, 1 open position) – Kelley Kearney (GEO)

CPUC elections: All four candidates were elected in by voice vote, none opposed. All other committee positions (including GSG standing committee chairs) – A motion to approve everyone (except the governance committee positions, the only contested election) was put on the table. The candidates were elected with none opposed.

Both candidates running for the one open position of governance committee chair (Michael Sekora and Shin-Yi Lin) were absent from the meeting. Ashley Thrall (Parliamentary Secretary) read statements submitted by the candidates out loud to Assembly. A written vote was conducted, and Shin-Yi Lin was elected to the position.

### **III. Reports**

#### **A. Chair - Christina Hultholm**

The content of Christina talk is below:

*Congratulations, everyone, for your recent elections. I would like to take a minute to stress how important it is for everyone to get involved with one of the standing committees. Even if you did not run for a position, I encourage you to participate actively on with one or several of the standing committees. In order to be productive as an organization and to achieve the goals we set for ourselves, we all have to do our part. It's difficult for people to get things done on their own, so they really need your support. You know who the new chairs are now, so please contact them if you are able to help, even in a limited capacity. I know they will appreciate it.*

*Since the last Assembly meeting, the executive committee has been busy working on several projects. First, and non-trivially, we have been getting acquainted with the day-to-day duties and activities of our new executive positions. We held an “executive transition retreat” during which the outgoing executive committee briefed us on our new responsibilities, brought us up to speed on operational issues and works in progress, and*

*shared with us the insights they have gleaned during their tenure. We appreciate all the effort they have put in to making the transition go as smoothly as possible, and we have a second retreat focused on advocacy issues and institutional memory planned for the coming month. Manos, Yi, and I joined Shin-Yi and Daniel in representing the GSG at a recruiting event for newly admitted graduate students. We gave an overview of the goals and achievements of the GSG and tried to answer questions the prospective students had about graduate student life in Princeton. New execs also attended two networking events, the Pass the Buck GC high table dinner and a lunch, both hosted by Dean Russel. These events gave us a chance to introduce ourselves, working to ensure that the close relationship the GSG has built with the Princeton administration will be maintained and strengthened over the next year.*

*In the past month, several concerned students contacted us regarding the Lawrence Committee elections, and as a result, we decided to dedicate some time to this issue in the second half of this meeting. Annie has prepared a timeline mapping out the sequence of events related to the elections, the presentation of which will be followed by a discussion that we hope will give everyone a chance to ask and to receive answers to questions they might have.*

*The executive committee has been talking a lot, both internally and with administrators, about the eagerly anticipated opening of the campus club, working on ideas about how to make it more welcoming to the graduate student community. The execs are taking a tour of the facility next week, which we hope will give us a better idea of the types of space that will be available in the fall, making it easier for us to think about what kind of events and activities we may be able to hold there.*

*I would also like to let you know that Praveena Joseph-de-Sara, the mol bio rep, contacted us because she needs help from other natural sciences representatives for the APGA Natural Sciences mixer this year. She has been nice enough to take the lead in this event, so please volunteer some of your time to her if you can, and if you cannot personally participate, please send an email to your constituents encouraging them to contact her if they can help. Her email address is [pjoseph@princeton.edu](mailto:pjoseph@princeton.edu), and you can feel free to send me an email if you forget it.*

*Yi will be discussing his idea of having a graduate student photo contest, Jeff will be talking about the shuttle survey and OIT, Giri will be reporting on the IRC, and we have department reports from Mathematics and Music.*

## **B. Social Events - Yi Wang**

The GSG is sponsoring a graduate student photo contest, titled “My World, My Eyes” with a theme of international culture/scenery.

1. A current graduate student can submit up to 5 photos taken by him or her self.
2. The submission deadline for photos is Monday April 21, 11:59pm EST
3. The photos should be submitted by email to [gsg.photo@gmail.com](mailto:gsg.photo@gmail.com) Specifics will be provided in a global email to be sent out soon.
4. Voting: Online popular voting (details coming soon)
5. Awards - The author of the best photo will be awarded with an Apple Ipod Nano. The top five photos will be developed, framed, and exhibited at the Frist Center.

Yi discussed a proposed cost of \$300 for the photo contest, planning to use \$300 of the \$1000 money allocated for “other events” in the GSG social events budget. Kevin Collins (POL) asked whether the GSG social events \$1000 budget for “other events” is

already allocated for, and where the GSG was last year in terms of consuming this portion of the budget. Dan expected it was unused since it was designated after Jenny He (previous social chair) came on.

Hilary Bergsieker (PSY) asked for more details in the budget calculations. Yi explained that estimates included printing and framing the photos (by Shutterfly.com). Kostas felt that \$300 was a very small amount of money and was not concerned about it. David Jorgensen (REL) supported the idea.

### **C. Department Reports:**

#### **1. Math (proxy Rob Harron)**

The math proxy, Rob Harron, deferred the report to next month.

#### **2. Music (Katya Ossorgin)**

##### **Overview**

- small department—41 graduate students total, half are musicologists, half composers
- consists of Musicology and Composition programs, equal number of students in each; about 3-5 students in each year
- Number of Faculty: 7 Musicologists, 5 Composers, and 1 who also teaches in Comp. Science
- Musicologists get 5 years of funding, Composers get 4 years of funding
- Time-to-degree for both programs is an average of 6 years
- Most Musicologists go on to academic jobs
- Composers seem to go equally into academic and non-academic positions

##### **Pre-Generals/Generals**

- Language Requirement for Musicologists is 2 foreign languages, one of which has to be German
- Language Req. for composers is 1 foreign language (relevant to research), which can also be computer language
- 1<sup>st</sup>-year Musicologists required to teach Music 103, the General music class; also have a theory exam and required first-year paper
- composers have a first-year paper as well
- Generally 3 classes a semester in both programs are offered; people occasionally take classes offered in the other program
- Composers often have a lot of outside commitments and commissions that they're busy with so their classes tend to be on the lighter side
- Generals: Musicology—6 fields of our choosing, have to span medieval-20<sup>th</sup> century (two 8-hour days of essay writing, one-hour long oral defense)
- Generals: Composition—consists of three parts: Generals Concert (in which they 'respond' to a composer); oral presentation on a significant piece or body of works; oral presentation on a general topic, e.g., memory, noise, etc.
- recent failures

##### **DGSC**

- newly resurrected (or active for the first time), consists of 4 students from each program

##### **Things people are happy with**

- our librarian
- our study space in the Woolworth music Center
- relatively hands-off approach to the program
- plentiful funding
- (no complaints about the shuttle system)

### **Things people are not so happy with**

- the relatively hands-off approach to the program
- somewhat arbitrary assigning of grad students to precepting
- the lack of clarity as to the policies and demands of the program

### **E. IRC Report - Giri Parameswaran**

Giri briefly reminded Assembly that the Institutional Review Committee (IRC) is focusing on 3 areas: 1) motivation for graduate student participation, 2) Agenda setting and 3) improving the efficiency of the arms of the GSG – assembly, standing committees, GSG exec committee

He announced that the next IRC committee meeting will be held next Tuesday at 12:15, and will be open to everyone.

### **D. Facilities Report - Jeff Dwoskin**

Jeff Dwoskin (Facilities chair) gave a power-point presentation. Several points:

1. There was very little attendance at the recent graduate housing meeting
2. Shuttle survey - 1084 responded out of 2400 eligible, paid for by the department of transportation, the survey committee is waiting on executive summary and working on coding the responses.
  - a. Biggest concern – housing's claim of keeping number of beds constant was based on last year's beds, not this year's. They are not lying, but this accounts for 60 beds.

A brief discussion ensued. The major outcomes:

1. The AOS wanted to clarify to the graduate school that students would like the extra route to Forrestal campus. While approximately 30 students are interested in service to Forrestal, hundreds advocated for weekend service in the shuttle survey, so Forrestal service is lower in priority.
2. Service to Canale Point would cover Market Fair, entertainment, and university administration offices
3. The prospect of shuttle service to the seminary and IAS is still unknown, but there is an ongoing discussion.
4. Rob Harron (Math proxy) advocated for a bus shelter for the Dinky stop. Since the Dinky is moving southward according to the ten-year Campus plan, construction of a shelter will depend on when this happens, and priorities are still being evaluated

## **III. Discussion Items**

### **A. Lawrence Committee Elections**

The outcomes of the Lawrence Committee elections were held. Christina Hultholm (Chair) stated the purposes of holding this discussion:

1. Clarify events as they happened
2. To suggest plans as to how these events may be avoided in the future. Lawrence committee is undergoing significant changes to constitution, and we would like to support them in their endeavor

A member of the audience added that it was important for the student government to investigate when the graduate school makes decisions that affects students; moreover, it was important for representatives (of the decision-making bodies like the graduate school) to make themselves accessible to graduate students for such discussions.

Another audience member outlined the course of events:

On March 10, all Lawrence residents were emailed that all could vote via email (March 19-21) for candidates running for two open positions. On March 22, residents received an email saying that it was brought to the Lawrence committee's attention that their elections process violated their own constitution and bylaws, and the graduate school intervened and determined how the elections should proceed: only the residents who had already attended at least one committee meeting between September 2007 and March 13, 2008 would be eligible to vote in person at elections held on March 24.

While some students agreed that the graduate school's decision was in line with the spirit of the constitution, many graduate students were frustrated that the Committee didn't notify the residents in time or change the constitution/by-laws in time for the elections. Notifications of these changes were required to be given at least 72 hours in advance, and thus this decision also violated the Lawrence constitution and by-laws.

Several graduate students discussed these concerns with the GSG and Press secretary Anne Twitty, asking that this course of events be discussed at the April assembly meeting. Anne contacted both the Lawrence committee and Olivia Martel (Community Programs Coordinator of the Graduate School) to better understand the situation. Representatives of the Lawrence committee and Ms. Martel attended the April assembly meeting to participate in this discussion.

Several concerns about the elections were brought up and were debated over the course of the discussion:

1. Should university employees be available for these positions should be up for debate since they are under the influence of their employer?
2. Does the graduate school have the authority to intervene?
3. The Lawrence Committee constitution was not followed to the letter by the committee or the graduate school
4. Should the GSG recognize the Lawrence representative, given the GSG constitution does not allow a non-student representative to vote?
5. Elections were held only for the two positions that had been vacated, rather than for all seven positions on the committee

Yaron Ayalon (NES), as a member of the Lawrence Election Committee, reminded Assembly that the GSG can't actually demand that the Lawrence Committee make changes, but can only suggest or encourage changes.

Olivia Martel acknowledged that the outcome was not ideal; the constitution and by-laws were not ideal either. Calling into question peoples' integrity or candidacy was disheartening.

Kevin suggested that an email be sent to the Lawrence committee, making suggestions on what students would like to see in the election process based on the feedback from Lawrence residents.

A representative from the Lawrence committee told Assembly that there is no precise time line for amending the constitution, but the committee would like to move promptly, but so far we have gotten a few conflicting responses. A forum would be held next Wednesday. Comments or suggestions are welcome at lawraps@Princeton.edu

Hilary Bergsiker (PSY) acknowledged that the last year number of votes cast last year in the Lawrence committee elections was not high (about 50-70 votes). Elections for the Butler committee do not allow residents to vote unless they attend meetings. However, she did feel concerned that all of the committee positions were not open for election each year.

Dan Raburn (PPL) motioned to send an email as Kevin had proposed to make suggestions and encourage Lawrence committee to take action. He charged exec with writing the letter.

Giri Parameswaran (ECO) felt that the GSG should not be interfering with other organizations and telling them how to operate. He added that the GSG should not be writing these letters.

Giri moved offer a placard and a place on the gsg-list serve to whoever the Lawrence committee chooses to send as its representative. This motion was seconded and passed.

A vote to send a letter to housing committees did not pass.

#### **V. New Business**

Andrew Ballinger (AOS) heard positive things from several of his constituents about the GC formal. He wanted to acknowledge the GC house committee for their hard work in producing a successful GC Formal.

#### **VI. Adjournment**

A motion to close the meeting and the discussion was made, seconded and voted on. The meeting adjourned at 8:45pm.

**GRADUATE STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSEMBLY MEETING \*APRIL 9TH 2008\***

**ATTENDANCE LIST**

Instructions: Please initial under "Present/Initials". If you are a proxy but not listed, write your name in under "Proxy Name". Cross out a proxy name if incorrect. Representatives and Delegates are listed at left; Officers, Councilors, and others at right. Members of the general public should sign in below, at right, and list their departmental affiliation if applicable.

\*NEW REP/FEB

DEPT/ GROUP	REPRESENTATIVE/ DELEGATE NAME	PROXY NAME	PRESENT/ INITIALS
<b>ACADEMIC DEPARTMENT REPRESENTATIVES</b>			
ANT	(vacant)		
ARC	(vacant)		
ART	Charles Butcosk		
AST	<i>Khee-Gan Lee</i>		X
CEE	Raghuveer Vinukollu		X
CHE	Robert Scogna		
CHM	Keith Reber*		X
CLA	Tom Zanker		
COM	(vacant)		
COS	(vacant)		
EAS	(vacant)		
ECO	Giri Parameswaran		X
EEB	<i>Ryan A Chisholm</i>		
ELE	Koustantinos Aisopos		X
ENG	(vacant)		
FIT	(vacant)		
GEO	Kelly Kearney		X
GER	<i>Asdis Hermanowicz</i>		
HIS	<i>Zachary Chitwood</i>	Christopher Moses	X
MAE	Darren Pais*		X
MAT	Yi Wang	Robert Harron	X
MOL	Praveena Joseph-de Saram		X
MUS	Katya Ossorgin		X
NES	Yaron Ayalon		X
ORF	<i>Ekaterina Jager</i>		
PHI	(vacant)		
PHY	Pablo Mosteiro*		X
POL	Kevin Collins		X
PSY	Hilary Bergsieker		X
REL	David Jorgensen		X
SLA	Tim Portice		X
SOC	LaTonya Trotter		
SPO	(vacant)		
WWS	Jenny Lah		X
<b>ACADEMIC PROGRAM REPRESENTATIVES</b>			
ACM	<i>Emanuel Lazar</i>		
AOS	Andrew Ballinger		X
HOS	Robert MacGregor	William Deringer	X
OPR	(vacant)		
PPL	Daniel Raburn		X
<b>HOUSING GROUP DELEGATES</b>			
BUT	Dante Ricci		X
GCO	Neven Fuckar		X
HIB	( <i>Marcelline Block</i> )		
LAW	( <i>Jennifer Jordan</i> )		
MIL	( <i>Steve Hassani</i> )		
OFF	( <i>Meredith Safran</i> )		
<b>VOTING SPECIAL INTEREST DELEGATES</b>			
BGC	Audrey Dorelien		X
WOC	(vacant)		
<b>NON-VOTING SPECIAL INTEREST DELEGATES</b>			
ACSS	Yi Sun		X
CIGS	( <i>Weining Man</i> )		

OFFICE/ CMTE	NAME	PROXY NAME	PRESENT/ INITIALS
<b>GSG OFFICERS</b>			
Chair	Christina Hultholm		X
Parl Sec	Ashley Thrall		X
Corr Sec	Jenna Losh		X
Rec Sec	Marina Paul		X
PressSec	Anne Twitty		X
Treas	Emmanouil ("Manos") Koukoumidis		X
Soc Ch	Yi Wang		X
<b>CPUC Members – 2007-2008</b>			
CPUC	Yaron Ayalon		X
CPUC	Elizabeth Bakanic		
CPUC	Silvia Bulow		X
CPUC	Jeff Dwoskin		X
CPUC	Shin-Yi Lin		
CPUC	Chris Said		
CPUC	Grace Wang		
<b>CPUC Committee Members – 2007-2008</b>			
EXE	Shin-Yi Lin		
EXE	Grace Wang		
GOV	Mike Sekora		
JUD	Bart Bonikowski		
PRI	Jeff Dwoskin		X
PRI	Ryan Harper		X
RES	Ben McKean		X
RRR	Grace Wang		
RRR	Chris Crutchfield		
<b>Friends of the GSG</b>			
RLC	Lisa Schreyer		X
CPC	Olivia Martel		X
APGA	( <i>Victoria Garcia</i> )		
<b>Standing Committee Members</b>			
Aca. Aff.	(vacant)		
Aca. Aff.	(vacant)		
H&L	(vacant)		
Facilities	Jeff Dwoskin		X
Camp Rel	Donna Sy		
<b>GUESTS AND OTHERS PRESENT</b>			
<b>AFFILIATION</b>	<b>NAME</b>	<b>DEPT</b>	
GCHC	David Liao	Phys	
Lawrence Committee	Keren Leiby	n/a	
	Stephanie Budijono	CHE	
	Aurelien Fraise	AST	
	Sylvia Bulow	GEO	
	Spencer Quiel	CEE	
Events Board	Jasdave Chahal (Raja)	Molbio	
Lawrence Committee	Kathryn Stoltzfus - Dwick	KG	
	Tim Stoltzfus – Dwick	PPL	
Lawrence Committee	Kim Tu	Mol	