Meeting called to order at 5:55

**Approval of previous meeting’s minutes**

Motion to approve last month’s meeting minutes passes by unanimity.
RESOLVED: Last month’s meeting minutes approved.

**Jay Dominick from OIT**

Jay Dominick: Introduction to OIT. In the past 2 years, the department has transformed the way of delivering services on campus. We’re having conversations with Graduate Students in which we say “these are the services we provide, what would you like to see?”

Last month it was resolved to move to Gmail and to make the transition to alumni.princeton.edu and redirect e-mails addressed to princeton.edu accounts.

We are moving incoming graduate students into Gmail and make it opt-in for current grad students. Originally grad students weren’t in Gmail because the wording of FERPA wasn’t satisfactory and also because it was thought that Calendar would be a critical link between grad students and faculty (it hasn’t).

The second point is to not cut princeton.edu and forward to alumni.princeton.edu automatically. Idea: Forward inbound e-mail to your account but prevent you from using the account as a representative of Princeton University. Extending beyond 12 months is something OIT is working on, no promises yet.

Another point is Lakeside Wireless Access. Lakeside is not on the Princeton Campus Network, working on it.

Will be making an investment to increase speed in wired, but also doubling down on wireless. More access points, higher speed, more places.
Web presence: What do you want out of it? There is no recommended way, but OpenScholar is probably the best way. Member of assembly confirms (just tried during meeting).

Puwireless is getting substituted by eduroam. Eduroam is more secure to avoid MITM attacks. Eduroam is also usable in other universities with your Princeton credentials.

It is proposed to OIT that they send a quarterly / semesterly e-mail/newsletter about OIT’s progress.

GCHC Membership Referendum

Today two votes: 1) Approving referendum to go on the 2018 election. 2) Allowing Assembly to set up a committee to write pro and con and run referendum itself. Next month Assembly would look at the wording and vote on it.

Pros of having a Con writing: Fairness in the election, avoiding bias in the questions.

As a reminder to the reader, this is the wording of the referendum:

The overall question at hand is whether the Graduate College House Committee (GCHC) should automatically include all graduate students living in university housing as members of the House. As attendance and inclusion in House events, such as Fall Ball, Spring Formal, Social Hour, and DBar, has grown more prevalent with non-house members, there is reason to suggest these individuals be made members of the House. A GC non-resident membership would allow these individuals access to the DBar without having to be a guest of a current member. An opt-out process would be in effect to allow students to remove themselves from this system in the event they do not use the services provided (this opt-out policy would not extend to students currently living in the GC, as the dues also go to pay for other services related to their housing).

- Would you support extending GCHC membership to automatically include all graduate students living in university housing, thereby automatically granting DBar access?
- Would you opt-out of this new system?
- If you would opt-out, at what due cost would you consider staying in this system (current GCHC non-resident membership is $25 per academic year)?

Motion to pass the wording of referendum passes with only one abstention.

RESOLVED: The wording of the referendum will be used.

Motion for a referendum committee passes with one abstention.

RESOLVED: A referendum committee will be formed.
Election Plan Approval

Election plan is [here](#).

Requests:
- Write a brief explanation of CPUC duties. Highlight of achievements + number of meetings.
- Point out who is an incumbent or which positions are going to be empty, also prod nominees. Color coding people’s response to nomination?

Motion to approve election plan passes unanimously at 18.35. RESOLVED: Election Plan is approved.

Open Forum

Late night (post 2am) studying spaces? Could that be looked into? Are there any? Classroom buildings close at midnight but you are still able to stay there. Coffee house in GC.

USLC vote that USG took? Will happen soon (USG just had election). Concerns that USG is doing something they can’t actually do. USLC may be something that exists by virtue of Faculty saying it exists.

Motion to close meeting passes. RESOLVED: Closed at 6.30

Next meeting: February 14, 2018 5:45pm